

## MIRANDA SLONE SKLARIN VERVENIOTIS LLP

MICHAEL A. MIRANDA\*
STEVEN VERVENIOTIS
ONDINE SLONE
RICHARD S. SKLARIN°
MAURIZIO SAVOIARDO
ANDREW B. KAUFMAN±
LAWRENCE S. WASSERMAN\*

\*ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY

□ALSO ADMITTED IN FLORIDA

\*ALSO ADMITTED IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

RESIDENT IN WESTCHESTER

**WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL:** 516-741-7755

WRITER'S E-MAIL: MMIRANDA@MSSSV.COM THE ESPOSITO BUILDING 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 TEL (516) 741-7676 FAX (516) 741-9060

WWW.MSSSV.COM

\*BRANCH OFFICES: WESTCHESTER, NY NEW YORK, NY WOODBRIDGE, NJ SENIOR COUNSEL
LOUISE FASANO
ABRAHAM WARMBRAND
LAURA ALTO
GABRIELLA CAMPIGLIA

ASSOCIATES
RICHARD B. EPSTEIN
CHRISTOPHER J. LAMPERT\*
BRANDON H. DORMAN
MICHAEL R. SEIDON
ANDRÉ S. HAYNES
JOSHUA A. SCERBO'

April 20, 2023

## VIA ECF

Hon. Katharine H. Parker Magistrate Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 750 New York, NY 10007 USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:\_\_\_
DATE FILED: 4/21/2023

Re: Douramanis v. Dur-America Brokerage, Inc. et al.

Case No.: 1:20-CV-5825 (KHP)

Our File No.: 19-167

## Dear Judge Parker:

We represent the defendants/counterclaimants in the captioned sibling dispute. We write regarding an issue that has suddenly come up regarding depositions and their in person location.

Through our notice of deposition and our communications with plaintiff's counsel, we have always indicated that the depositions of the parties would be in person. In fact, I wrote again to plaintiff's counsel on March 27<sup>th</sup>, specifically asking for "his client's availability for in person deposition". Thereafter, we set the schedule we submitted to the Court on April 3<sup>rd</sup> pursuant to this Court's order.

Yesterday afternoon, plaintiff's counsel suddenly advised me that neither he or his client are appearing in person. No reason has been given. We have advised plaintiff that this is not a one sided request, as we are willing and have offered to produce our client, the named individual defendant, in person for a deposition. The Courts have favored in person depositions since we have returned from Covid. See Stapleton v. Prince Carpentry, 22-cv-004044 (JS)(JMS), 2023 WL 1785547 (Feb. 6, 2023)[requiring in person deposition in response to plaintiff's alleged concern about contracting Covid]; see also Herrera v. City of New York, No. 19-CV-3216(AT)(SDA),

## MIRANDA SLONE SKLARIN VERVENIOTIS LLP

APRIL 20, 2023 PAGE 2 OF 2

2020 WL 1879075 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 15, 2020). We have also offered both our Manhattan and Mineola offices as convenient locations since plaintiff resides in Queens. As such, either location, particularly the Mineola office, is in proximity to her residence.

In a case where there are countless documents; tape recordings, etc., it is important to have the ability to take testimony in person to assess her demeanor. She has made a number of wide ranging allegations against my clients and we are certainly entitled to have her testify as to the basis for them in person. We note that we have contacted our adversary in writing and by phone to attempt to resolve this issue. However, he has not communicated further with us.

The deposition is currently set for next Tuesday, the 25<sup>th</sup> and we thus appreciate the Court's attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

MIRANDA SLONE SKLARIN VERVENIOTIS LLP

Michael A. Miranda

cc: All parties via ECF

SO ORDERED:

HON. KATHARINE H. PARKER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 4/21/2023

Plaintiff shall respond to this letter by **10:00 a.m. on April 24, 2023**.